

During an internet debate between the president's media adviser and the US Department of State:

US government's course will lead to a dead end

Ali Akbar Javanfekr, the president's adviser in media affairs has for some time been writing his views about different political and social topics in his personal weblog and even publishes tens of pro and opposing views with his own replies. The up-to-datedness of the blog's topics and their correlation with the country's current events has attracted the media to it in a way that not only the content of this blog but the views of supporters and critics of the government and the replies of the president's adviser have become newsworthy and the print media and news sites have given these subjects special attention. Amongst these views one can point to what appears to be conversations between a representative of the US Department of State with Ali Akbar Javanfekr, the president's adviser in media affairs and it looks like that these unofficial talks between the two sides are still ongoing. The American side has introduced itself as "the US Department of State's Digital Outreach Team" and has stated that their mission is to present views of USG in regards to Iran. These dialogues from a spectrum that reflects official US government policy and the decisive answers that Javanfekr has given to his recipient have attracted the attention of others who visit the blog of the President's media adviser. For its readers information, Iran Newspaper has published the full transcript of the US government's views and Javanfekr's replies to each part of these views. In its remarks, the American side has stated that it hopes to have a constructive dialogue with the president's media adviser on this blog, but Javanfekr has emphasized that the utilized logic does not encourage a constructive dialogue and obliterates the possibility for consensus/understanding and the continuation of dialogue by pointing out to the introduced claims. In one of his replies, Javanfekr explains/discusses the roots and history of American government's animosity and ill will towards the Iranian people which together we'll read the details of this dialogue.

DOT Posting #1

* Mr. Javanfekr,

It is our hope that we can start a constructive dialogue based on facts.

Anyone who looks at the state of the Iranian economy or the debates that are going on within Iran about the state of their economy will realize that there are substantial costs that the Iranian regime is bringing on its own people as a result of misguided policies. For instance, the problems that the Iranian leadership is having in accessing the international financial system, the problems that they're having in getting investment in what is a declining oil and gas and refining infrastructure; the fact that there's been on and off **rationing of energy supplies in Iran**, are all signs of an Iranian economy that is starting to have real difficulties because of both the Security Council resolutions and what is called the collateral effects of those Security Council resolutions; for instance, the German decision to diminish or to begin to pull back on export credits, the fact that the Japanese cut their investment in Azadegan oil -- or gas fields.

The offer on the table from the international community makes clear that there are substantial benefits for the Iranian people from an Iran which fulfills its responsibilities to the international community. Iran has always asked that its rights be properly respected – one earns international respect by being a responsible partner with the international community. And our position has always been that as long as Iran observes its responsibilities to the international community, then it will be able to forge a more productive and positive relationship with that community.

Reply by Javanfekr #1

Your claims about the condition of Iran's economy are based on false information and unrelated conclusions. According to your false mental concepts and against the wishes of the American government, the smart policies of Iranian government have strengthened the foundations of Iran's economy and have brought big advancements in various fields for the Iranian people, which of course Iran's enemies don't have the ability or the intellect to understand.

Today's world is constantly changing and the changes appear to be taking place very rapidly. We are in the last years of the first decade of the 21st century but the position of the US government and the political literature of its officials clearly indicate that they have remained behind of the times and still speak with 19th century and early 20th century literature.

The international community is a big community and it consists of tens of countries and a population of 70 billion. Therefore the American government and its few allies, claiming to be equal to the entire international community, show arrogance, narcissism and an imperialistic approach, which in today's world has no buyers and results in nothing but hatred and resistance by nations against the imperialist/arrogance.

In today's world many ways exist to defuse the grudge holding efforts of the US government and using our past experiences we will find a way out of the status quo and it is better for you to worry about the American people especially the flood victims of the storm in Orleans, who everyday face more problems than before.

The nation of Iran is about to acquire all possible capacities in peaceful nuclear energy and is ready to pay the price for its nuclear rights even though the government will reduce the costs with its decisions.

DOT Posting #2

Mr. Javanfekr,

At the outset let me say that I am glad that you decided to publish my comment, and by doing open the way for dialogue which we hope will be constructive.

As for the status of Iran's economy, you state that "the smart policies of Iranian government has strengthened the foundations of Iran's economy and has brought big advancements in various fields which Iran's enemies don't have the ability nor the intellect to understand." I wish you would go into detail of what those accomplishments and advancements have been. One would expect that given Iran's vast natural resources and educated population, the government of Iran would not rely on the oil sector to

provide 85% of government revenues. If by strengthening Iran's economic foundations you mean having double digit unemployment rates, very high inflation, and the rationing of energy supplies than you are correct in saying it is hard for us to see and understand. Because to us, these are not signs of a healthy economy.

You are also correct when you say that the international community does not consist of just the United States and a few of our allies. It includes the UN Security Council which has three times passed resolutions on Iran's nuclear program without a single dissenting vote. It includes the governing board of the IAEA, which has made many statements critical of Iran's non-co operations. It includes the NAM member states that recently met in Tehran and issued a series of statements, including one on Iran's nuclear activities in which the NAM did not agree on the language proposed by the Iranian delegation – despite heavy pressure from the Iranian leadership not to do so.

You write that Iran's nuclear program is peaceful and the Iranian people are willing to pay the price. I am certain that you as a media man and someone who blogs and reads other Iranian blogs. Would agree with me that many Iranians in fact do not see the benefits of a controversial nuclear program that comes at the expense of alienate themselves and their country from the world.

Let me ask you a few questions. 1. If Iran's nuclear program is to provide electricity then why was the enrichment and reprocessing program hidden for so many years? 2. Why is the Iranian leadership hesitant to share information with the IAEA and the international community regarding weaponization concerns? 3. Now that Russia has provided the required fuel for Iran's sole nuclear plant, why does the Iranian government insist on the enrichment and reprocessing of uranium in the expense of the Iranian people?

It is important to note that the 5+1 has also offered to help Iran build additional plants with western standards and have guaranteed the needed fuel for Buser and other possible nuclear plants. This goes to show that Iran's nuclear program is not being singled out. There are many Eastern and Western countries that are very advanced in nuclear technology and cooperate with one another and with the IAEA. The United States, as a pioneer of this technology, cooperates with countries that have nuclear programs. S. Korea is a great example. They have 20 nuclear plants but don't have enrichment facilities because economically it does not make sense and is not necessary. If it continues to reject the international community's offer for cooperation, the Iranian leadership will needlessly bring more hardship to its people.

I look forward to hear your replies to the questions I raised and the continuance of this conversation.

Reply by Javanfekr #2

We like the American people very much but don't consider the American government as a friend because of its persistent hostile behavior and there is no reason for us to tell you our secrets to success and advancement. It is in the benefit of the Iranian people for you {USG} to carry on your analysis about the situation in Iran based on a lack of information and intelligence.

The United States governs the shaky and oppressive process of international relations. We don't recognize this oppressive regime which is based on "gold and force" and are certainly determined to change it in the favor of justice, peace and brotherhood amongst nations.

I am sorry to say that the American government is a clear reflection of all things bad, inhuman and oppressive. Be assured that by taking this path you will get nowhere. Today the world has changed and your nuclear warheads don't have any use but the Iranian people possess strong and useful abilities, while the means to use them is also available. We are a people of logic, dialogue, justice, peace, friendship and brotherhood, good intentions, dignity of nations and the commemoration of humankind. I know it is difficult to accept, but with its bitter taste you have to accept that hatred of American government by the world is at its highest level. Today the American government does not even have much credibility even inside that country and its decisions are strongly ridiculed by Americans. Instead of diverting the public opinion from domestic issues it is better for the American government to be realistic and attend to solving its own abundant problems. Your information about the IAEA and the NAM countries is completely for marketing purposes and unreal and it is clear that it is based on biased advertising by which you are tricking yourself and others.

The Iranian people do not have animosity with the world and is only seeking its rights based on international rules and regulations. We want to enrich uranium and produce nuclear fuel to be used for peaceful nuclear energy based on the regulations of IAEA which is part of the UN system. In the past three decades, the American government has not hesitated in creating obstacles in the path of the Islamic Republic's advancements in various fields. But even with this, Iran has had big achievements and bigger accomplishments are on the way. We suggest that the American government stop its grudge holding and animosity towards the Iranian people and come clean with reality. Don't make a mistake about it, no power in the world is capable of preventing the scientific and technical advancements of the Islamic Republic of Iran.

Let me inform you that all of Iran's nuclear activities are under the supervision of the IAEA and their representatives record these activities and so far have not reported any diversions from the peaceful path, so therefore your information about Iran's refusal to provide information to the agency, more than being not true, it is as a result of your publicity motives.

Russia's move to provide nuclear fuel for the Buzher nuclear plant is based on bilateral agreements and has nothing to do with the uranium enriching issue. Today in Iran we have oil refineries and produce gasoline but we also import gasoline from abroad – is it right for you to say other countries give Iran gasoline therefore there is no reason for Iran to have refineries?! This is a false logic that in today's world doesn't have any buyers. We welcome cooperation by western countries to built nuclear plants in Iran but this cooperation should not result in depriving Iran of its legal rights. This insulting

expectation is due to the arrogant and self-centered mentality and spirit of oppressive powers.

At the end of the dialogue you had referred to the imperialistic methods of the American government as “cooperation offers” and have threatened that if the Iranian government don’t accept, they will create hardship for the Iranian people.

This kind of logic does not encourage a constructive dialogue and obliterates the possibility for consensus and also the continuation of dialogue.

DOT Posting #3

Mr. Javanfekr,

The United States government does not have blood feuds with the people of any other nation nor is it in the nature of American society to hold grudges against others. For instance, you never see the American government bringing people on the streets to chant death to any country nor do you see American politicians giving hate speeches against other countries. So it is clear that the poisoning and abhorrence is one way – that is from the Iranian leadership. For the past 30 years, the strategy of the Iranian leaders has been to create an enemy of the United States in the minds of the Iranian people and blame their own shortcomings on America. The truth of the matter is, the American people do not hate Iran.

It’s important for the Iranian people to understand that despite the very clear and obvious differences between the international community on one hand, and the Government of Iran on the other, that both the United States Government and the people of the U.S. wish to have good relations with the people of Iran. We’re always looking for ways to reach out to the Iranian people and we, for example, have established Iranian watcher posts in Dubai and several other places around the world to try and give ourselves greater insight into what’s occurring in Iran and what are the attitudes of the Iranian people. And we try, of course, to also find ways to get more Iranian people to have some exposure or some experience in the United States. As you know, we’ve made a point of trying to emphasize here that our issues with the current Iranian Government, over its nuclear program does not in any way mean hostility or animosity on the part of the U.S. towards the Iranian people. And we have great respect for the Iranian people and for Iranian civilization. And certainly, you’ve seen as well through some of the exchange activities that have been done, whether that’s based on sports or medicine or art, such as the recent training of the Iranian basketball team in the U.S., that we’re continually looking for ways to be able to find new means to reach out to the Iranian people. Furthermore, the Iranian community in the U.S. has thrived.

You say “all of Iran’s nuclear activities are under the supervision of the IAEA.” If that is the case than why has there been 3 UNSC resolutions asking Iran to suspend enrichment and reprocessing? If you examine the announcements of the IAEA you will see a different story. Well, there are really three reasons.

The first is that Iran's leaders are determined to move ahead to master the technology to enrich uranium. They're doing this despite the absence of a civil requirement, and they're doing this in violation of multiple Security Council resolutions. As I said before, most countries in the world that have nuclear power don't enrich their uranium, they procure it on the open market. I used South Korea as an example. It is a very advanced country technologically, has twenty nuclear power reactors and they get almost 40% of their electricity from nuclear, and have not invested in enrichment technologies because it makes no sense economically. They procure their fuel from international markets. Why doesn't Iran do the same thing, particularly when today it has no nuclear power plants except one being built at Bushehr? It is also important to note that the design and construction of a nuclear reactor is much more complicated than enriching uranium. The construction of Bushehr has been completely outsourced to Russia and as a result no transfer of technology to Iran has taken place.

You say it is Iran's right to have nuclear energy and we do not disagree with that. Our point is the enrichment of uranium is in no way economical in Iran because Iran has limited uranium reserves and does not have enough for the long run. A large amount of Iran's electricity production goes to waste during transfer and delivery, as does its natural gas production. Shouldn't the government be fixing those systems first which doesn't cost as much? Isn't it better to prioritize! Is Iran's priority building a nuclear plant that you will need to close in 10 years due to lack of fuel? All of this is if we assume Iran's nuclear program is peaceful in nature. What Iran is doing now is like buying gasoline for a car that you don't own. And this gasoline can be used for other dangerous purposes.

The second reason why the international community is so concerned about Iran's nuclear activities is that the IAEA inspectors have amassed a lot of very troubling information, over a period of time, suggesting that Iran has conducted a long-running program on weaponization. What does that mean? It suggests that Iran plans to take material like enriched uranium and actually fashion it into a nuclear weapon and then be able to deliver it, for example, with the Shahab 3 missile. This is of enormous concern to the Board of Governors, and thus far Iran has refused to explain or give credible answers to questions the IAEA inspectors have asked. Iran's authorities say that weaponization is a baseless accusation, but IAEA inspectors, who are very competent, have made it clear that this is not an acceptable answer.

The third reason why the international community is concerned about Iran's nuclear program is that Iran refuses to provide full transparency into its current activities. It refuses to give the IAEA advanced information on new nuclear facilities, like every other country in the world does. It refuses to implement the additional protocol, like most other countries do, to give IAEA inspectors additional access and additional information. This is the basis for our concerns. How can Iran's authorities address these concerns? It's very simple. They need to abide by the Security Council requirement to suspend the enrichment activities which aren't necessary for a civil program. They need to fully disclose and explain the past work, on weapons-related work, and allow the IAEA inspectors to verify it's stopped. And then finally they have to give full transparency into their current activities. If all of this happens, we can then close Iran's nuclear file and concentrate on how do we work together to get civil nuclear energy for the nation of Iran.

Reply by Javanfekr #3

1. My identity is completely clear to you but your identity is not at all clear to me. You are aware that I am the President's adviser in media affairs and in this blog I express my own personal views and the official positions as declared by the government and foreign ministry spokespersons and also by the president and the foreign minister.
2. Considering that you present US government positions and given that your identity remains a secret, let me from now on refer to you as her Excellency Madam Rice, the distinguished US secretary of State unless you identify your position/standing at the US Department of State to the readers of this blog.
3. Some issues are so clear that they don't need to be explained sufficiently. I decisively emphasize that the American government's animosity toward the Iranian people is not something that you can cover up with some childish claims! The American government's hand has the blood of several consecutive generations of Iranian people and its repeated overt and covert meddling in Iran's domestic affairs cannot be erased from memory. The aim of this meddling has been to confront the Iranian people and impose a puppet regime. Ms. Madeline Albright the secretary of State of the Clinton administration showed rare bravery in accepting responsibility for some of USG's past mistakes especially the coup against Dr. Mosadegh but it appears the bravery of Ms. Rice, besides for the destruction of the American people's image, has no other use. Be certain that running away from the truth, scolding, berating, supporting terrorism and inhumane behavior like torturing innocent people, the continuance of rape and the slaughter of citizens in countries like Iraq and Afghanistan does not open any bright paths for the American government.
4. In the past thirty years, the US government has used a variety of plans, hostile plots and deception against the Iranian people and has used every opportunity to do harm to the Islamic Republic Iran. Saddam imposed the eight year war on Iran with green light from America and the American government in its support of the criminal Iraqi regime finally carried out military operations against the Iranian people and it was with American government's political and physiological support that Saddam found the permission to use chemical weapons against Iran and the innocent people in the city of Halabja, targeted Iranian cities with missiles and I am sure you remember why there was a medal awarded to the commander of the USS Vincennes. Yes, that is right; he had succeeded in destroying an Iranian passenger liner and massacring 300 innocent passengers. How can we close our eyes at all of these animosities? Targeting the Iranian people with economic sanction in order to deprive them of their legal rights, threatening military assault and when US officials including the US president don't apologize for their numerous insults toward the Iranian people, and at the same time claim that American government wants to have good relationship with the Iranian people. In international relations, this kind of behavior and two-track positions if

not considered disuniting, hideous and cruel, it certainly is not a sign of honesty, well intentions and friendship.

5. You claim that one never sees the American government bringing people on the streets to chant death to any country nor does one see American politicians giving hate speeches against other countries.

In order to dismiss your claims there is no need to go back too far. Mr. Ahmadinejad, the president of the Islamic republic of Iran gave a speech at Columbia University last year. In your opinion who besides the American government allowed the Zionists led by the foreign minister of the Quds occupying regime to protest against the Iranian president in the streets of New York and chant anti-Iranian slogans. The latest experience of the Iranian people was the rude and offensive way that the president of Columbia University behaved in front of Iran's president which perhaps you will say the American government had nothing to do with?! However from this view you may be right because the American government usually reaches its cruel intentions and goals by deception, plotting, military interventions, spying and hard and soft wars and this matter is nothing that the American people approve of. Also, it is the Iranian people, because of American behavior, have suffered countless social and financial damages, therefore it is natural that in reacting to these hostile actions, Iranian people not only in streets but in any condition and occasion show their hatred and rage to American government's actions. So your assertion that people are not called upon to come on streets and chant slogans is not true and fundamentally lacks any basis and is not based on logic and reason because the Iranian government and nation never behaved the way the American government has so far done to Iran. Also, pay attention to this note that "death to America" in reality is telling of Iranian people's hatred and antipathy from policies of the American government, so it is better for you not to **divide** and refrain from manipulating minds of the American people.

6. You stated the American Government has established Iranian watch offices in Dubai and several other places around the world to give you greater insight into what's occurring in Iran.

Let's be honest with one another. Isn't it better to pronounce conducting spy activities, supporting various spies and establishing contact with the fifth column correctly and not refer to it as acquiring a greater insight into what's occurring in Iran?! It is amazing the USG with its vast experiences doesn't have any better way of "acquiring a greater insight into what's occurring in Iran" than establishing offices in Dubai and several other places?!

7. The Iranian people have grown in the school of Islam and Shism and want to live alongside other nations in peace and brotherhood, but these same people in order to live alongside others follow a recognized fundamentals and guidelines and will resist until the last drop of blood and will strongly defend their lives, wealth, honor, and water and land against whoever reaches their hands for their homeland, rights and interests. The Iranian people in their following of Islam are also for forgiveness. We do not intend to taunt and mock the USG forever for the hardship that it has imposed on the Iranian people and if the USG can be hopeful

to be forgiven by the Iranian people if in its actions correct its arrogant behaviors towards the Iranian people, end its devilish, hostile behaviors and plots, respect the rights and interests of the Iranian people and refrain from meddling in domestic Iranian affairs.

8. A major part of your third explanations was allocated to repeating the USG's position on the nuclear issue. Your reasoning is not logical when you say because we don't have a car so therefore we should not have refineries or gasoline. We can produce gasoline and sell it to other countries that have cars. We can enrich uranium and provide the nuclear fuel for nuclear plants of other countries and this is part of Iranian people's recognized right. Your concern for it not being economical does not create a positive feeling amongst the Iranian people. We consider this position of yours insulting to the Iranian people - which is rooted in the arrogance of the USG and prevents its politicians from correct and constructive thinking. Iran is not America's satellite state so you have no right to talk to the Iranian people from a position of higher standing. We don't need guardians to tell us what is in our interest and whether our activities are or not economical.

9. You say Iran does not have a nuclear plant so it doesn't need nuclear fuel and if it enriches uranium it is possible it could use it to build a nuclear weapon. This reasoning is not acceptable from a country like America which has a record of using nuclear weapons on Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Of course it is our firm belief that the current age is a not a time to seek superiority by relying on weapons of mass destruction and the countries that possess such weapons are bound more than others from the threats of such weapons. We will continue our uranium enrichment activities for peaceful use based on international regulations and are not after military goals. We suggest that USG be realistic and refrain from using the language of force and threat. This is in the benefit of all the world's countries including the America.

10. The people of the world remember that USG obtained complete information about Iraqi military's weapons situation through UN inspectors and when it was certain that no weapons of mass destruction exists in Iraq conducted a massive military operations against that country. Therefore the USG attacked Iraq with complete information about the lack of WMDs in Iraq and surely used the WMDs in Iraq as an excuse for this attack which none were ever found. You want IAEA inspectors to visit anywhere they want in Iran (of course places that you give them directions to) and have access to all of Iran's classified and unclassified information. No, we will not give such permission to anyone. USG has turned into the cause for instability and warmongering especially in the Persian Gulf region and the countries surrounding Iran. We are very suspicious of USG's intentions and motives and are extraordinarily sensitive towards the security of Iranian people and based on this will continue to increase our defensive capabilities which more than anything is considered an element for deterrence.

11. If you focus the level of discussion and dialogue on concerns, be certain that nations especially the people of the region are more concerned with the demeanors and malevolence of the USG. There are also clear evidences for these concerns. From the fundamental actions of the Mr. Bush's administration,

creating terror in the world by military attacks, occupation, blind and aimless bombardments against gathering centers for innocent people, issuing work permits for American torturers, the establishment of secret prisons in various places around the world, the publishing images of raping of prisoners by torturers ... the current leaders of the USG are legally responsible for their actions and criminal stances and should certainly be tried in international courts. The regime of Mr. Bush has lost its legitimacy inside of America and we hope the American people can open a rescue path from the hard conditions facing them.

DOT Posting #4

Mr. Javanfekr,

Thank you for the promotion but I am not the Secretary of State. I am a member of the Digital Outreach Team which is an entity within the US Department of State. Our goal is to establish communications and have a candid conversation with the people of Iran and answer questions about US foreign policy. But I think it's better instead of focusing on personalities and job titles to focus on issues.

Again, I have to disagree with your view that the United States has systematically been hostile towards the people of Iran. As I said before, we are always looking for ways to get more Iranian people to have some exposure or some experience in the United States. You are certainly aware that the Iranian community in the U.S. has thrived and is amongst the most successful minorities. We've made a point of trying to emphasize here that our issues with the current Iranian Government, over its nuclear program does not in any way mean hostility or animosity on the part of the U.S. towards the Iranian people. And we have great respect for the Iranian people and for Iranian civilization. And certainly, you've seen as well through some of the exchange activities that have been done, whether that's based on sports or medicine or art, such as the recent training of the Iranian basketball team in the U.S., that we're continually looking for ways to be able to find new means to reach out to the Iranian people.

It's interesting you speak of Dr. Mossadegh to justify your view but fail to mention that the policies of the current leadership in Iran differs greatly from the political principles of Dr. Mossadegh. Using Dr. Mossadegh's name when it is convenient for you and serves your cause could be interpreted as insincere. How many major landmarks in Iran are currently named after Dr. Mossadegh? I believe the answer to that question is zero. I am sure you remember when the name of Pahlavi Street was changed to Mossadegh Street after the revolution only to be changed again shortly after that.

As for The accidental shooting down of Iran Air Flight 655 we have said many times that it was a terrible human tragedy, and U.S. officials at the time, including President Reagan, expressed our deep regret over the tragic loss of life. The U.S. maintains its

expressions of sympathy and condolences to the families of the deceased who perished in the tragedy. The U.S. eventually paid \$61.8 million compensation to the Iranian government to be distributed to the families involved. On February 22 1996 an agreement was reached between the US and Iran and both governments agreed that the issue was settled.

Your comment about demonstrations in New York makes me think that perhaps your animosity towards the United States is due to your lack of knowledge about American society. Unlike in Iran, the US Government does not organize demonstrations or select university officials. Unlike in Iran where university officials have to watch what they say, university officials in America are free to say what they want. It is called freedom of speech! In fact none of the media companies in the US are government owned or controlled. In fact, the people of Iran would benefit if the government of Iran stopped censoring the media or limiting the people's access to other opinions. Why does the Iranian leadership insist on creating an enemy of the United States in the minds of Iranians. I can only ask a simple question: why is the Iranian leadership afraid of letting Iranian citizens hear outside opinions? Is it because the leadership lacks confidence in the validity of its policies or that it fears the reaction of the Iranian people?

Reply by Javanfekr #4

I am sorry that you have preferred to keep your identity hidden from our blog readers. Your questionable effort in the Iranian culture is disrespectful to the readers, who do want to know the identity of their speakers, therefore, please don't speak about freedom and democracy because your effort in introducing yourself as a defender of freedom is counted as a clear insult to the Iranian readers.

Escaping unbearable realities and covering up the inimical US behavior in front of the Iranian people won't solve any problems.

How could you target a nation with all your unreasonable sanctions? Your President publicly insults our nation and calling them the axis of evil. In your airport you treat the Iranian travelers with hostility by using threats and lures. You force other countries to take a position towards Iran and at the same time you claim you are the Iranian people's friend and say again that you don't have systematic animosity. !

Honesty and respecting others thoughts are based on a constructive dialogue that you in the beginning wanted to base on facts.

If the US wants such a dialogue it is necessary that before anything else it would be better that the US distance itself from acting as the better, prideful one; it shouldn't escape from reality and honestly cooperate in order to get out of this situation. Don't forget, arrogant behavior will only return the American government to square one or the start line of any negotiations with the Iranian people.

It is necessary to inform you that the Iranian people have a different place for each one's religious, cultural and political personalities but these personalities don't necessarily carry the same weight.

Dr. Mosadegh also has his place among the Iranians and certainly you'll agree that this is an internal Iranian affair and it isn't US government's business. It isn't clear how you can explain and on what basis and reason you relate the coup of 1953 to the change of name of the avenue Mosadegh to Hazrate Valie Asr after 25 years after the incident.

Isn't this a retreat or opposite movement by the USG? The pride and arrogance of your government distances your government from relating to issues logically and concluding correctly.

Why do you escape from this truth that the US government gave a medal of honor to the Captain of USS Vincennes for killing 300 people??. I am surprised that you know this clear fact but you prefer to say nothing and keep your head like a dove in the snow and you call this disaster a tragic accident and sharing our pain and sending your condolences.

This hypocritical behavior is considered as impudence and shameless in the global culture.

About the Columbia University, as I guessed you try to clean and purify the image the US. Certainly you remember that in your so-called free country how the representatives of New York threatened the University of Columbia for inviting the Iranian president. These threats were so worrisome that the New York Times called the threat to Columbia University an insult to Freedom.

In order to make sure that your own freedom is real or freedom in Iran , isn't it necessary to point out the conference given by our president in one of the most important universities of Tehran where dozen of people freely talked with the president and didn't agree with his views; this is enough and let's review an article in the Washington Post newspaper on November 17 of last year that wrote:

“When the director of Columbia University announced that in Iran there is no freedom of expression, the Iranian president invited Lee Bollinger to come to Iran and see for himself and this answer was applauded by lots of people during that meeting and Ahamdinejad came back to Iran proud and honored”.

Of course in the same country where you claim there is democracy and freedom the professors of Columbia University severely complained why Bollinger didn't let them go to Iran?

At the end of your letter you asked why the Iranian leadership prevents Iranian people from hearing opinions from outside of Iran? Is it because the leadership lacks confidence in the validity of its policies or that it fears the reaction of the Iranian people?

I have to inform you that we are a Muslim nation and we act according to the orders of Quran and it is our duty to listen to the different point of views and choose the best ones. Therefore we welcome and listen to all the point of views, we trust our way of politics. What is worrisome is not opposing thoughts but the coming of the immoral culture and its destruction. Unfortunately the west is the propagator and has caused nations' identity to perish and made available the implementation of aggressive politics in different countries.

In the end I want to point out that you are wasting your time by imagining that the new generation in Iran doesn't know about the tyrannical and imperialistic American behavior, and that by using tools such as the media and wrong and useless press you try to divert the Iranian youth's mind but be sure this won't succeed because the Iranian

people, especially the new generation, are more clever , well-informed, more responsible, know better their enemies and are more fond of the religion and their country than the older generation and they distinguish their enemy from their friend.